

CITY OF BELLEVUE  
CITY COUNCIL

Summary Minutes of Regular Session

June 4, 2012  
8:00 p.m.

Council Chamber  
Bellevue, Washington

PRESENT: Mayor Lee, Deputy Mayor Robertson, and Councilmembers Balducci, Chelminiak, Davidson, Stokes, and Wallace

ABSENT: None.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 8:14 p.m., with Mayor Lee presiding.

2. Roll Call, Flag Salute

Upon roll call, all Councilmembers were present. Deputy Mayor Robertson led the flag salute.

Mayor Lee noted Councilmember Chelminiak's request to remember Gloria Leonidas, a Bellevue resident who was one of several victims in a series of shootings the previous week in Seattle. Ms. Leonidas was a committed volunteer and a Board member of the Evergreen Health Foundation. She is survived by her husband, Tom, and their two daughters.

3. Communications: Written and Oral

- (a) Ron Bennett, Surrey Downs Community Club, commented on one of the proposed cost-saving measures for East Link light rail. He spoke against opening SE 8<sup>th</sup> Street into the Surrey Downs neighborhood at 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE. He noted that the Council will be asked on June 18 about whether to move forward on the East Link cost-saving ideas. He asked the Council to oppose opening SE 8<sup>th</sup> Street into Surrey Downs because it routes traffic into a single-family neighborhood. Mr. Bennett said there will be a light rail station at the north end of Surrey Downs. He urged that the Council not allow even more negative impacts to the neighborhood for the sake of cost savings. Surrey Downs residents favor aligning light rail along 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE in a trench under SE 4<sup>th</sup> Street. Mr. Bennett

respectfully asked for the Council's continued support. [Mr. Bennett submitted his comments in writing.]

- (b) Sam Bellamy, representing Stand Up America, thanked the Bellevue City Council for providing the opportunity for citizens to address the Council. He noted that the King County Council does not allow open public testimony, except on specific issues. He urged the Council to ask the King County Council to allow public comment. Mr. Bellamy said his second issue is red light cameras. He has obtained data from the City and there is no change in accident rates since the cameras were implemented. He thinks the cameras are not appropriate and that only a person/officer should be issuing tickets. He also objects that 40 percent of the camera-related fines revenue goes to the company that provides the cameras. He would rather be ticketed by an officer and have all of the revenue go to the City.

Mayor Lee thanked Mr. Bellamy for his testimony and suggested that he speak with staff about his concerns.

- (c) Alex Zimmerman, Stand Up America, said he represents poor, disabled, and senior citizens. He mentioned two class action lawsuits against the City, one on behalf of disabled citizens. He has received 27 tickets from Bellevue Police. Mr. Zimmerman alleged that government does not care about the public, and he encouraged periodic changes in management personnel.
- (d) Leonard Marino, a Surrey Downs resident, expressed concern about the elevated segment of light rail at the south end of the Surrey Downs neighborhood on 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE. The elevated configuration places the train wheels 30 feet above ground level with trains running every 3.5 minutes. Mr. Marino said this would cause visual blight, loss of property values, loss of privacy, and noise and vibration impacts that cannot be mitigated in any meaningful way. The proposed structure would actually touch the deck of one home and be within 20 feet of some homes. He said it is impossible to effectively mitigate the impacts of an elevated rail segment through a single-family neighborhood. Mr. Marino said it would be better to place the rail in a trench, and residents are opposed to opening SE 8<sup>th</sup> Street into Surrey Downs. Mr. Marino referenced the use of the term "exceptional mitigation" in the Light Rail Best Practices Report and in the East Link MOU. He said that nearly every mature tree along 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue would be removed to construct the elevated tracks. He indicated that plans for tree plantings and a sound wall are not acceptable mitigation. He believes the cost-saving proposal would increase the negative impacts due to the extension of the elevated rail line. He asked the Council to consider other alternatives for crossing 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE.
- (e) Scott Lampe, speaking on behalf of the Surrey Downs East Link Committee, testified that residents oppose a light rail station at 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE and SE 2<sup>nd</sup> Street. He believes that ridership will be low from that station, and eliminating the stop will improve overall travel times. Deferring construction of a station at that location would result in significant

cost savings. Mr. Lampe asked the Council to take immediate action to create a citizen advisory committee, as recommended in the Light Rail Best Practices Report, to study cost savings for neighborhoods, Downtown, and the Spring District. Any cost savings should reduce the impacts of an already heavily impacted community.

- (f) Steve Marquardt, representing the Puget Sound Chapter of the Making Change at Walmart campaign, said he grew up within several blocks of the Kelsey Creek Center, which is the home of a new Walmart Neighborhood Market. This national organization promotes fair pay, respect for workers, access to good affordable health care benefits, honesty, and transparency. Mr. Marquardt alleged that Walmart is currently under federal investigation for alleged corruption and bribery in Mexico and a cover-up by the U.S.-based corporation. He asked the City to expedite its response to his recent request for public information related to Walmart's interaction with the City.
- (g) Betina Finley referred to the earlier Study Session and provided her neighborhood's perspective on Ashwood Park. She said she is representing the consensus of residents who want to retain the park as passive open space. The surrounding development is primarily high-rise buildings, and Ashwood Park is heavily used. Ms. Finley said she served on the Meydenbauer Park and Land Use Plan Advisory Committee, which contemplated developing parks and open space. She noted projections for obesity and encouraged retaining the park for the health of citizens. She suggested there are more appropriate sites for a fire station and community center, both of which have been discussed for the Ashwood Park site. She noted the importance of the park as a community gathering place and asked the City to spend its money on other projects.
- (h) Jim Hutchinson, formerly with Puget Sound Energy, reported that he is now working for Republic Services in Bellevue. He and his staff look forward to working with the City in this new capacity.

4. Reports of Community Council, Boards and Commissions: None.

5. Report of the City Manager

City Manager Steve Sarkozy noted that staff has been working diligently with Sound Transit on the East Link alignment issues.

6. Council Business and New Initiatives

Councilmember Chelminiak reported that he attended the Chinese Information and Service Center's 40<sup>th</sup> Annual Friendship Dinner. He noted that the organization assists immigrants from many Asian and non-Asian countries.

Councilmember Davidson said he was interviewed by an Eagle Scout candidate, and attended an Eagle Scout Court of Honor for a different young man who had interviewed him in the past. He attended meetings of the Emergency Medical Services Advisory Task Force and the Puget Sound

### Salmon Recovery Council.

Deputy Mayor Robertson reported that she and Mayor Lee attended a Memorial Day dinner at Andrew's Glen Apartments, which has a number of military service veterans as residents. Ms. Robertson spoke and cut the ribbon at the opening of the remaining portion of the SR 520 braids project. She spoke to the Bellevue Chamber of Commerce Young Executives class and to a local 8<sup>th</sup> grade class about the City's operations and assets. She attended an elementary school traffic meeting between the City and the Bellevue School District.

Deputy Mayor Robertson and Councilmembers Stokes and Wallace attended the East Link MOU Leadership Group meeting with Sound Transit.

Ms. Robertson referenced Land Use Code 20.25D.060, Existing Conditions in Bel-Red, Sub-section G.3.a.vii: "Until June 30, 2012, exempt interior alterations and improvements proposed to accommodate a permitted use that do not expand the footprint of the existing development." She said this policy allows more flexibility and defines the thresholds for complying with nonconforming uses. She suggested not letting this expire given the current economic climate, and requested a response from staff on this policy provision.

Councilmember Balducci attended the grand opening of the LA Fitness at Kelsey Creek Center. Referring to comments and questions from the public regarding developer incentives related to the Center's redevelopment, Ms. Balducci clarified that the shopping center owner completed several hundred-thousands of dollars in mitigation work in the adjacent City greenbelt in exchange for the impact fee waiver. The project is revitalizing a center that has been nearly empty for 10 years.

On a related topic, Ms. Balducci said there is a platform at the southeast portion of the shopping center site that extends almost to the greenbelt, and an informal gravel trail nearby that leads through a fence to connect to the Lake Hills Greenbelt. She asked whether the City could consider formalizing that brief trail connection.

Ms. Balducci attended the Sound Transit Board meeting and a briefing on the I-90 track bridge (light rail linkage to floating bridge).

Councilmember Stokes reported that he attended the Leadership Group meeting of the East Link MOU Collaborative Design Team and the Bellevue PTSA annual spring scholarship event at Newport High School.

Councilmember Wallace attended the United Way of King County breakfast and the East Link MOU Leadership Group meeting.

Mr. Wallace asked whether staff could contact the representatives of Stand Up America, who have spoken to the Council a number of times recently, to determine whether their comments can be addressed and resolved.

Mayor Lee reported that he attended the Memorial Day dinner at Andrew's Glen, the opening concert of the Bellevue Jazz Festival, and an Eagle Scout Court of Honor ceremony.

7. Approval of the Agenda

→ Deputy Mayor Robertson moved to approve the agenda, and Councilmember Stokes seconded the motion.

→ The motion to approve the agenda carried by a vote of 7-0.

8. Consent Calendar

→ Deputy Mayor Robertson moved to approve the Consent Calendar, with a correction to the May 14 meeting minutes. Councilmember Stokes seconded the motion.

Mayor Lee noted a correction to the May 14 meeting minutes, which indicate that he adjourned the meeting. He was absent, and Deputy Mayor Robertson chaired and adjourned that meeting.

→ The motion to approve the Consent Calendar, as amended, carried by a vote of 7-0, and the following items were approved:

(a) Minutes of May 14, 2012 Extended Study Session

(b) On-call Roof and Gutter Maintenance and Minor Repair Services

Resolution No. 8403 authorizing execution of a four-year General Services Agreement with Allied Construction Association, Inc., for on-call roof and gutter maintenance and minor repair services.

Resolution No. 8404 authorizing execution of a four-year General Services Agreement with Cobra BEC Inc., for on-call roof and gutter maintenance and minor repair services.

Resolution No. 8405 authorizing execution of a four-year General Services Agreement with Tecta America Seattle, for on-call roof and gutter maintenance and minor repair services.

Resolution No. 8406 authorizing execution of a four-year General Services Agreement with Wayne's Roofing Inc., for on-call roof and gutter maintenance and minor repair services.

(c) Resolution No. 8407 authorizing execution of a contract with Greenshield Systems LLC, in the amount of \$142,053.25, for the installation of subsurface drainage to improve site conditions at the Ivanhoe and Lewis Creek multi-use sportsfields.

- (d) Motion to reject all bids for Bid No. 12071 to replace the heat recovery system for the natatorium and the lobby/locker areas of the Bellevue Aquatic Center, review and possibly revise the scope and project specifications with the option of rebidding at a later date.
- (e) Resolution No. 8408 authorizing and directing the City Manager to execute the Amended and Restated Cascade Water Alliance Interlocal Contract.

9. Public Hearings

- (a) Public Hearing to consider requested street vacation of a portion of 95<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE between NE 1<sup>st</sup> and NE 5<sup>th</sup> Streets.

- (1) Staff Report

City Manager Steve Sarkozy explained that the street vacation is requested by a resident who has a portion of property that is constrained by an adjacent public right-of-way.

Nora Johnson, Civic Services Director, opened staff's presentation regarding the requested street vacation of a portion of 95<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE between NE 1<sup>st</sup> and NE 5<sup>th</sup> Streets.

Patti Ebert, Senior Real Property Agent, said the City received a right-of-way vacation petition on April 2, 2012, from Kathleen Gwilym, who owns the property on both sides of the right-of-way. Public notice was published and posted at the property following the Council's direction during the May 7, 2012 meeting to set the hearing date.

This situation came to light when a surveyor working on Ms. Gwilym's property questioned the property lines. The City researched King County records to verify the street vacation and the location of the retained area. Ms. Ebert described an aerial photo showing the Gwilym parcels. She said that, in 1942, the County received a petition and vacated most of 95<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE between NE 1<sup>st</sup> and NE 5<sup>th</sup> Streets. The majority of Ms. Gwilym's property is on one side of the right-of-way, leaving a 20-foot strip on the other side. Ms. Ebert said City staff believe that perhaps the intent was to provide a gap between properties, and not within the same parcel.

Ms. Ebert reviewed the public comments that have been received, noting that neighbors are supportive of Ms. Gwilym's request.

Ms. Ebert said the area has no existing public utilities and there is no reason to preserve public access.

Ms. Johnson referred the Council to page 9-1 of the meeting packet, which lists the criteria for the consideration of a street vacation.

Responding to Councilmember Davidson, Ms. Ebert said the Gwilym property was purchased as one parcel, according to King County, and the records indicate a 10-foot ROW between property lines.

Responding to Councilmember Chelminiak, Ms. Ebert said the City owns the ROW, which is a strip of land within her property line. When the Gwilyms bought the property in the 1970s, they were unaware of the existence of the ROW. In further response, Ms. Ebert said the ROW continues to the adjacent parcel. However, that property owner is not interested in going through the street vacation process.

In further response to Mr. Chelminiak, King County records note a street vacation of the westerly 10 feet of the subject property for the potential uses of public utilities and pedestrian access.

(2) Motion to open public hearing

- Deputy Mayor Robertson moved to open the public hearing, and Councilmember Stokes seconded the motion.
- The motion to open the public hearing carried by a vote of 7-0.

(3) Public Testimony

The following citizens came forward to comment:

1. Kathy Gwilym said they purchased the property in 1972. She distributed copies of documents from the time of purchase, which show the property as one parcel. She noted her career as a teacher and involvement in community issues. When they had their property surveyed recently in preparation for sale, they were shocked to learn that the ROW exists. She reminded the Council that Bellevue was rural at the time, and she believes it is possible that the ROW location was an error. Ms. Gwilym said that her initial proposal was to swap equal portions of property to resolve the issue. Her surveyor discussed this with the City in October. In January, the City's Legal Department suggested selling the property as is or pursuing the street vacation process. Ms. Gwilym said she was told that the Council has the authority to decide on the proposal to swap strips of property. She thanked Nora Johnson for her responsiveness to this matter, and asked for a fair resolution as soon as possible because they need to sell their property.

Responding to Mayor Lee, Ms. Johnson said there is a provision in the City Code for allowing a trade in lieu of payment. That occurs after the street vacation decision by the Council, and is executed at the discretion of the City Manager.

2. Robert Sheehan said that his property, a few blocks away from Ms. Gwilym, originally had an adjacent 12-foot alley running along several properties. This was split between properties on either side of the ROW, giving six feet to each property owner, without any

apparent exchange of money at the time. He suggested this as a precedent to refer for resolving the current issue. He expressed support for Ms. Gwilym and said it would not be fair to charge her the current market price to buy the ROW strip.

3. Pamela Tolle recalled that, in 1942, 55 Japanese families in the Bellevue community were interned, resulting in the loss of 472 acres of land and the closure of the Strawberry Festival. She explained that a number of her friends and neighbors throughout the city who are 70 years and older are selling their homes, many out of necessity. She expressed support for Ms. Gwilym's request to exchange the property with the City. She noted there are a number of unusual property situations throughout the Eastside, including the Highland Covenant Church that straddles the Bellevue-Redmond border.
4. Eileen Meacham spoke in support of Kathy Gwilym, noting that she owns the adjacent property. At one time, the Gwilym and Meacham properties were one parcel. Ms. Meacham bought her property in 1962, and was told at that time that the road was vacated. The description of Ms. Meacham's property for tax purposes specifically includes the portion of vacated road. She noted that another adjacent property includes the entire 30-foot original ROW. Ms. Meacham reiterated that her tax description indicates she is paying taxes on her portion of the vacated ROW. Ms. Meacham believes Ms. Gwilym should be granted the strip of land without an exchange of money or property, especially if she has been paying taxes on it.

(4) Motion to close public hearing

- Deputy Mayor Robertson moved to close the public hearing, and Councilmember Wallace seconded the motion.
- The motion to close the public hearing carried by a vote of 7-0.

(5) Council Discussion and Action

Councilmember Davidson observed that it appears that the property does not have a sufficient setback. Ms. Ebert said aerial photos can be somewhat distorted and inaccurate. She said there is essentially no use for the ROW to the City.

Dr. Davidson questioned whether the City could vacate the ROW instead of exchanging the strips of property. City Attorney Lori Riordan said the Code requires the payment of fair market value due to restrictions against gifting public property. The Code allows swapping property, as long as the two pieces have roughly same value.

Dr. Davidson observed that, after such a swap, the City would be left with property that it might want to declare as surplus, which Ms. Ebert confirmed. Mr. Sarkozy said the property owner is asking to swap the two strips of land, or to pay some amount for the title to that property once the Council declares it as vacated. Dr. Davidson wondered whether, if the strip could be surplus first, the neighbor would want to buy it.



Ms. Johnson explained that the appraised value of the ROW is likely a significant amount for a homeowner. Therefore, another option is to vacate the ROW and to leave it that way until Ms. Gwilym has a buyer for the property. The buyer could then buy the strip at the time of purchase. Or, the City could decide to leave it as a trade. Ms. Johnson said the Council would first have to direct the ROW vacation.

As to the question about whether the neighbor could or would purchase the ROW strip, Ms. Ebert explained that the adjacent property owner received its portion of the ROW and is not entitled to purchase the other half of it.

Deputy Mayor Robertson recalled that the Council recently vacated a South Kirkland Park and Ride utilities easement, which straddled the Bellevue and Redmond city borders. That action benefits a multifamily development, and the City did not receive any reimbursement. She acknowledged that the easement was located on public property. However, a private party benefitted from the action. The Council was informed that the City did not charge anything for this easement because it had not ever paid anything for it.

Ms. Robertson questioned whether any government agency paid anything for the strip of ROW on the Gwilym property. And did the abutting property owners have to pay for the vacated portion in 1942?

Ms. Johnson observed that the road was probably established as part of a plat, and that there likely was no payment for it. However, she explained that the Gwilym situation involves ROW and not an easement, and the two designations have different property rights. The South Kirkland Park and Ride situation was the relinquishment of a utilities easement.

Responding with regard to the 1942 ROW vacation, Ms. Johnson said she believes the property owners were not required to pay for the strips of land at that time. However, laws have changed since that time, and the City Code is prescriptive about the handling of Class 1 ROW, which requires a determination of market value.

Responding to Ms. Robertson, City Attorney Lori Riordan said she does not know whether there is state law regarding local street vacations, because that is generally left to the authority of local jurisdictions. However, the State Constitution provides the basis for not allowing the gifting of public property.

Ms. Robertson noted that one of the first things that is examined with regard to public gifting is the intention to make a gift. Ms. Riordan concurred.

Ms. Robertson said that Ms. Gwilym bought the house for \$38,000 in 1972, and now would have to pay \$110,000 for the strip of land. She is not comfortable asking a citizen to pay for property that no government agency paid to acquire. She would like further legal analysis of the matter and options before making a Council decision.

Councilmember Chelminiak observed that the City does not need the ROW, and the strip of land has marginal value once it is vacated. He suggested vacating the ROW, swapping the property, and then figuring out what to do with the remaining strip of land owned by the City. As noted earlier, perhaps the purchase of the strip could be an option for the future buyer of the Gwilym property. He does not think it makes any sense for the City to hold onto the ROW.

→ At 9:58 p.m., Deputy Mayor Robertson moved to extend the meeting to 10:30 p.m. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Chelminiak.

→ The motion to extend the meeting carried by a vote of 7-0.

Mr. Sarkozy acknowledged that the Council seems interested in vacating the property. He suggested that the matter cannot be solved tonight, and he agrees with comments from the Council that it is not fair to charge such a high price. He believes the City and property owner can reach an equitable solution, and he suggested bringing the issue back to the Council in two weeks. In the meantime, staff will analyze how to value the property in preparation for sale or exchange.

Mr. Sarkozy reiterated that the City does not need the property, which is a landscaped strip along a relatively large difference in grade/elevation. Staff will continue to work with Ms. Gwilym toward an equitable solution.

Councilmember Stokes confirmed that he would like to proceed with the requested street vacation. He noted that “equitable” has a legal meaning, and he would like to do what is right for the property owner.

Councilmember Balducci supports the ROW vacation as well. She requested that staff prepare an option that includes a means of executing the swap and that demonstrates the pros and cons of this option. She concurred with others that it is not fair to charge \$110,000 for essentially unusable land.

Councilmember Wallace expressed support for the vacation, and questioned the true market value of the strip of land if it were to be placed for sale.

Mayor Lee thanked citizens for their testimony and said the Council wants to work hard on their behalf. He asked the City Manager to bring options and benefits for Council consideration.

10. Land Use: None.

11. Other Ordinances, Resolutions and Motions

- (a) Resolution No. 8409 authorizing execution of an Animal Services Interlocal Agreement for 2013-2015 with King County, and to work with neighboring cities and partners to formulate alternative service delivery models.

Mr. Sarkozy opened discussion regarding proposed Resolution No. 8409, which authorizes the execution of an Animal Control Services Interlocal Agreement with King County and partner cities for 2013-2015.

Sheida Sahandy, Assistant to the City Manager, recalled previous discussions with the Council on this topic. In the last session, the Council noted concerns about the potential for a King County levy, which would be applied to Bellevue citizens even though the City would already be paying for animal control services through the proposed interlocal agreement. Also, the levy funding approach runs counter to the principle in the Interlocal Agreement of basing costs, at least in part, on the usage of services.

Ms. Sahandy noted that the Council directed staff to request that the County include a provision allowing the City to terminate the Interlocal Agreement if King County decides to propose a levy. A response denying the request was received from the King County Executive's Office around 4:00 p.m. today.

Ms. Sahandy said one provision of concern in the previous version of the Interlocal Agreement indicated that any new revenues from a levy would not be allocated in the way the parties have negotiated for other new revenues to be allocated, but would instead be allocated by the County at its sole discretion. The County agreed to strike that provision from the Interlocal Agreement.

Ms. Sahandy said the County agreed to negotiate with the cities prior to a levy being proposed to determine an equitable use of levy funds. However, the County would not agree to the cities having the ability to terminate at that time if an agreement was not reached.

Ms. Sahandy referred the Council to page 11-3 of the meeting packet for the first version of proposed Resolution No. 8409, which authorizes the City Manager to execute the Interlocal Agreement, and directs staff to work on a subregional model and to come back to the Council no later than 18 months before the contract expires to discuss that option.

A second version of Resolution No. 8409 was distributed via the Council's desk packet. It contains the same authorizations, and also articulates the Council's concerns about a levy should the Council wish to include those for the record.

Councilmember Stokes commented on the desk packet version of the proposed resolution, which he said expresses the Council's concerns about the levy, but does not make the agreement contingent upon addressing the concerns.

Responding to Mr. Stokes, Ms. Sahandy said King County has indicated it does not anticipate generating levy funds within the time period of the ILA. However, there is nothing binding the County to that course of action.

Councilmember Chelminiak suggested removing the sixth Whereas statement of Resolution No. 8409, and noted that the County has a Current Expense Fund versus a General Fund.

Mr. Chelminiak observed that a future Subregional System of several cities could involve the group providing services to King County for a fee. He proposed the following revision to Section 2 on the second page of the resolution: “The City Manager or his designee is hereby directed to work with neighboring cities, partners, and King County to formulate subregional service delivery options...”

Mr. Chelminiak said he is still concerned about the potential for a levy, but he supports the proposed resolution with the amendments he suggested.

Councilmember Wallace stated his understanding that King County cannot participate in a subregional system due to union-related issues. Councilmember Chelminiak clarified that the intent of his revision is to involve King County in discussions about possible future subregional options. However, it will be up to the County to determine whether it can participate.

→ Councilmember Stokes moved to approve the desk packet version of Resolution No. 8409, amended to revise Section 2 to read: “The City Manager or his designee is hereby directed to work with neighboring cities, partners, and King County to formulate subregional service delivery options...” Deputy Mayor Robertson seconded the motion.

Councilmember Davidson said he originally did not support including Section 2. However, if the County is not willing to allow the City to terminate the agreement if a levy is pursued, he is more supportive of continuing to explore the Subregional System alternative.

Deputy Mayor Robertson expressed support for Resolution No. 8409, as amended. She suggested that perhaps King County would provide specific services for a Subregional System of cities.

Councilmember Balducci expressed support for the Interlocal Agreement. She concurred with Councilmember Wallace’s comments about labor unions. She said it is illegal in Washington State to contract for services currently being provided by union employees.

Mayor Lee expressed support for Resolution No. 8409 as amended.

→ The motion to approve the desk packet version of Resolution No. 8409, amended to revise Section 2 to read: “The City Manager or his designee is hereby directed to work with neighboring cities, partners, and King County to formulate subregional service delivery options...,” carried by a vote of 6-1, with Councilmember Wallace opposed.

12. Unfinished Business: None.

13. Continued Oral Communications: None.

14. New Business: None.

15. Executive Session: None.

16. Adjournment

At 10:25 p.m., Mayor Lee declared the meeting adjourned.

Michelle Murphy, MMC  
Deputy City Clerk

kaw