



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
450 110th Ave NE
BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

PROPONENT: Kevin D. McDonald, Bellevue Transportation Department

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL: Eastgate, Factoria, and Richards Valley Subareas of the Comprehensive Plan

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: Comprehensive Transportation Project List (CTPL) Volume 2 amendments recommended by the Eastgate Transportation Study Final Report/ Eastgate, Factoria, and Richards Valley in the Eastgate/I-90 Corridor.

FILE NUMBERS: 19-120260-AC

PLANNER: Heidi Bedwell, 425-452-4862

The Environmental Coordinator of the City of Bellevue has determined that this proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact upon the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C). This decision was made after the Bellevue Environmental Coordinator reviewed the completed environmental checklist and information filed with the Land Use Division of the Development Services Department. This information is available to the public on request.

- ☐ There is no comment period for this DNS. There is a 14-day appeal period. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's office by 5:00 p.m. on _____.
- ☒ This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period on the DNS. There is a 14-day appeal period. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's Office by 5 p.m. on **December 2, 2019**
- ☐ This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2) and is subject to a 14-day comment period from the date below. Comments must be submitted by 5 p.m. on _____. This DNS is also subject to appeal. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's Office by 5:00 p.m. on _____.

This DNS may be withdrawn at any time if the proposal is modified so as to have significant adverse environmental impacts; if there is significant new information indicating a proposals probable significant adverse environmental impacts (unless a non-exempt license has been issued if the proposal is a private project); or if the DNS was procured by misrepresentation or lack of material disclosure.


Environmental Coordinator
Elizabeth Stead, Land Use Director

November 14, 2019

OTHERS TO RECEIVE THIS DOCUMENT:

- ✓ State Department of Fish and Wildlife / Stewart.Reinbold@dfw.gov
- ✓ State Department of Ecology, Shoreline Planner N.W. Region / Jobu461@ecy.wa.gov;
sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov
- ✓ Army Corps of Engineers Susan.M.Powell@nws02.usace.army.mil
- ✓ Attorney General ecyolvef@atg.wa.gov
- ✓ Muckleshoot Indian Tribe Karen.Walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us; Fisheries.fileroom@muckleshoot.nsn.us

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Comprehensive Transportation Project List (CTPL) Comprehensive Plan Amendment

2. Name of applicant:
City of Bellevue – Transportation Department
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
*Kevin McDonald AICP
City of Bellevue
Transportation Department
P.O. Box 90012
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012
(425) 452-4558*
4. Date checklist prepared:
September 24, 2019
5. Agency requesting checklist:
City of Bellevue
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Comprehensive Plan amendments prepared as part of the City's 2019 annual amendment cycle, consistent with state statute. The proposal would complete the full package of amendments to the Comprehensive Plan by the end of 2019.
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
No.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
Environmental information that has been prepared related to this proposal includes: Determination of Non-Significance for 2015 amendments to the Comprehensive Plan (Ordinances 6250 and 6251) including select amendments to Volume 2 amending text, policies, and maps of the Eastgate, Factoria, and Richards Valley Subarea plans as part of the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project recommendations; and Determination of Non-Significance for 2016 amendments to the text of the Land Use Code creating new land use districts as a result of the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project policy adoption and implementation.
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
There are no applications pending for government approvals directly affecting the proposal area. It is anticipated that the city will receive private and public proposals for transportation actions that are within the study area. These proposals will be reviewed for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, SEPA procedures and other applicable state and local regulations.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
N/A
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)
Amend the Comprehensive Transportation Project List in Volume 2 of the Comprehensive Plan to include the projects recommended to the City Council by the Transportation

The projects recommended in the Eastgate Transportation Study Final Report implement existing Comprehensive Plan transportation policies that: 1) support developing a multimodal transportation system to accommodate growth and 2) serve the mobility needs of the community.

The recommended projects must be included in the Comprehensive Plan to give them “standing” with respect to eligibility for funding and as a condition of private-sector development approval.

On July 15, 2019, the Transportation Commission transmitted its Eastgate Transportation Study Final Report to the City Council following a year-long technical analysis and engagement with the Eastgate/Factoria community.

In accordance with City Council direction on the Study, the Transportation Commission’s work focused largely on vehicle congestion in the Eastgate/Factoria areas and on expanding intersection capacity. Facilities—existing or planned—for people walking, riding a bicycle, or taking transit were included in the baseline. Commissioners were concurrently mindful of Complete Streets, Vision Zero, Multimodal Level-of-Service and other Comprehensive Plan policies that provide direction in the planning and implementation of the city’s transportation system.

With a 6-0 vote the City Council then initiated 2019 Comprehensive Plan Amendment (19-120260 AC) to amend the Volume 2 Comprehensive Transportation Project List with the projects recommended in the Eastgate Transportation Study; adding new projects to the CTPL, revising descriptions for projects currently in the CTPL, and repealing CTPL projects superseded by this more recent analysis.

What is the Comprehensive Transportation Project List?

The Comprehensive Transportation Project List (CTPL), together with the Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation Plan (Ped-Bike Plan 2009) and the Transit Master Plan (TMP 2014), identify the transportation facilities needed to implement transportation policies in the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan. The CTPL is a collection of the transportation projects previously contained in Volume 2 of the 2004 Comprehensive Plan, as well as projects identified in the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project (2012) and the Downtown Transportation Plan Update (2013).

What does the CTPL Include?

The projects included in the CTPL are primarily of three types:

- *roadway capacity projects e.g. road construction, widening and/or extensions, lane rechannelization;*
- *intersection capacity projects e.g. turn lane additions, signal additions or modifications;*
- *multi-modal projects e.g. road construction with new sidewalks and bicycle lanes.*

What does the CTPL not include?

Projects from the Ped-Bike Plan and the Transit Master Plan are not included in the CTPL because both of those plans have been adopted separately by City Council resolution. Their respective project lists are considered to be of equal standing to the CTPL and are included by reference in the Comprehensive Plan. As a result, exclusively non-motorized projects (e.g. sidewalks, bicycle lanes, trails) and transit projects (e.g. HOV/BAT lanes, queue jump lanes) are generally not included in the CTPL with few, specific exceptions. Such exceptions include:

- (1) Projects in which a non-motorized or transit project is already in design (e.g. the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trail), and*
- (2) Projects in which the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project or Downtown Transportation Plan Update introduced a non-motorized or transit project that the related mode-specific plan does not include (e.g. Project CTPL-95: Downtown mid-block crossings).*

How was the CTPL developed?

The Comprehensive Transportation Project List was developed by the Transportation Commission and staff as part of the 2015 update to the Comprehensive Plan. The six Transportation Facility Plans and Bel-Red Subarea Plan cumulatively contained 781 projects, many of which were completed, outdated, redundant, or conflicting with one another or with projects contained in the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project or the Downtown Transportation Plan Update, which together account for an additional 88 projects not previously incorporated into Volume 2 of the Comprehensive Plan. Consolidating these project lists made it possible to repeal completed or outdated projects, and eliminate redundancies and conflicts that existed between the project descriptions in different plans. The action to create the CTPL is considered to be a precursor to the development of a Transportation Master Plan, as directed by Council in policy TR-37, "Develop and utilize a citywide Transportation Master Plan to identify and prioritize the implementation of transportation system improvements."

Eastgate Transportation Study

On November 28, 2016, Mayor John Stokes proposed to Council that a traffic study be performed in the Eastgate/I-90 Interchange area along 148th—150th Avenues SE to identify improvements that could ease traffic congestion. Council concurred and directed the Transportation Commission to prepare a recommendation for projects that could reduce congestion, and to include a detailed traffic analysis that incorporated planned transportation projects, forecasts, and land use assumptions. The Eastgate Transportation Study Final Report prepared and transmitted by the Transportation Commission responded to this Council direction.

Forecasts and Assumptions

The Transportation Commission examined existing conditions and the 2035 Baseline forecast using accepted metrics, standards and guidelines to describe and quantify congestion at intersections and along arterial corridors within the study area (encompassing most of Eastgate and part of Factoria). The forecast for the 2035 Baseline included Bellevue CIP transportation projects and the capital and service projects planned and funded by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), King County Metro, and Sound Transit. Assumptions about 2035 land use were derived from forecasts of potential development under existing zoning. These were

provided to the Transportation Commission by Community Development staff.

The Transportation Commission identified project concepts by first looking at intersections and corridors that currently, or in the 2035 Baseline, show signs of congestion—described as delay or volume/capacity (v/c) at intersections, and in travel time/travel speed along corridors.

Traffic modeling analysis revealed the locations and magnitude of congestion and informed the design of project concepts. Most of the recommended projects add vehicle capacity at intersections in the form of turn lanes and thru-travel lanes. One project, for example, would restripe the existing westbound approach to Factoria Boulevard on SE 38th Street to provide a left-turn lane, a left/thru lane and a right-turn lane to better accommodate PM peak traffic from businesses and residences.

Project implementation

The recommended projects are intended to reduce the rate of growth in vehicle congestion. Each of the street projects includes or does not preclude planned facilities for non-motorized mobility. Continuing to provide for these non-motorized mobility options helps ensure that the transportation system continues to be equitable and accessible.

With regional and local growth continuing, the Transportation Commission's work on the Eastgate Transportation Study focused on accommodating that growth and minimizing adverse impacts on mobility. Recommended projects expand vehicle capacity and reduce congestion relative to the 2035 Baseline. Finally, not all congestion reduction is accomplished by expanding infrastructure. The Transportation Commission's Final Report acknowledged that transportation demand management (TDM) is an effective tool to reduce travel demand, especially in peak commute periods.

Some recommended projects identified in the report may be implemented earlier and less expensively than others. Examples include signal timing adjustments or other operational improvements and restriping existing pavement to expand vehicle capacity (the Factoria Boulevard/SE 38th Street project).

Most intersection and corridor projects can be accomplished within existing city right-of-way. In some instances, redevelopment of adjacent private properties will be necessary to secure the needed right-of-way as a condition of development approval.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

CTPL projects are located in the Eastgate, Factoria, and Richards Valley Subareas.

B. Environmental Elements

1. **Earth**

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

N/A

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

N/A

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

N/A

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A

2. **Air**

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

N/A

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

N/A

3. **Water**

a. Surface Water:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

N/A

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

N/A

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

N/A

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals: . . .; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

N/A

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

N/A

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

N/A

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

___ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

___ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

___ shrubs

___ grass

____pasture

____crop or grain

____Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

____wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

____water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

____other types of vegetation

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

N/A

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

N/A

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

N/A

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

N/A

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

N/A

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

N/A

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

N/A

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The projects recommended in the Eastgate Transportation Study Final Report implement existing Comprehensive Plan transportation policies that: 1) support developing a multimodal transportation system to accommodate growth and 2) serve the mobility needs of the community.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been

designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

N/A

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

N/A

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

N/A

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

N/A

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Eastgate districts

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Various

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

N/A

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

N/A

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

- L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The CTPL project updates are predicated on this compatibility.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

N/A

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

N/A

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

N/A

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

N/A

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

N/A

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

N/A

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

N/A

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Transportation projects recommended for the CTPL are described by location and are mapped.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

N/A

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

N/A

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

N/A

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

N/A

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

The CTPL project list.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,

other stormwater drainage

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

N/A

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Kevin McDonald
Name of signer: KEVIN D. McDONALD
Position and Agency/Organization: PRINCIPAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNER
Date Submitted: 09/24/19 BELLEVUE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
CITY OF BELLEVUE

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

As a non-project action, the proposal would not directly impact water, air quality, noise or release of hazardous substances. However, transportation projects envisioned by the proposal could result in such impacts. Future transportation projects that may result in such impacts would be reviewed consistent with applicable federal, state and local provisions, including the Bellevue Land Use Code and SEPA provisions.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

N/A

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

As a non-project action, the proposal would not directly impact plants, animals, fish or marine life. However, transportation projects envisioned by the proposal could result in such impacts. Future transportation projects that may result in such impacts would be reviewed consistent with applicable federal, state and local provisions, including the Bellevue Land Use Code and SEPA provisions.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

N/A

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

As a non-project action, the proposal would not directly deplete energy or natural resources. However, transportation projects envisioned by the proposal could result in such impacts. Future transportation projects that may result in such impacts would be reviewed consistent with applicable federal, state and local provisions, including the Bellevue Land Use Code and SEPA provisions.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

N/A

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

No parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, or prime farmland are located within the proposal area.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

N/A

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

N/A

Please see responses to question 8 in Part B.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

N/A

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

As a non-project action, the proposal would not directly affect transportation, public services or utilities.. Transportation projects would be reviewed consistent with applicable federal, state and local provisions, including the Bellevue Land Use Code and SEPA provisions.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

N/A

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The proposal would comply with all local, state and federal laws and requirements for the protection of the environment.

Reviewed-Heidi M. Bedwell 11/6/2019
