ERS Implementation Status Reporting Tool 10/13/2017

$\sqrt{ m check}$ denotes actions the City continues to do

What the ERS recommended Brackets refer to 2011 potential actions matrix	What the ERS intended	What has been the city role? How are we organized to respond? <u>Current Status (2017)</u>	Additional steps which could be taken to complete the recommendation. Should the Council identify resources to:
Joint Reliability Workshops [1.0 – 1.5] Conduct an annual reliability workshop with PSE in order to accomplish review of: • Circuit reliability metrics (SAIDI/SAIFI) • Individual circuit performance • Equipment reliability improvement projects	PSE already submits reliability reports under the Franchise Agreement. This proactively monitors progress and the extent of those programs focused on improved reliability of the power distribution system	 √ The workshop has now been held <u>six</u> times (2012-201<u>7</u>) with report deliverable and presentation to staff and stakeholder observers. <u>September 2017 presentation and documentation are online</u>. √ The next workshop is <u>September 2018</u>. 	 Adopt the amended and restated MOU regarding electrical system performance, reliability and reporting, which includes continuing to hold the annual reliability workshop? Pay for technical capacity expertise (FTE, LTE, on-call) in electrical systems and engineering to critique, monitor and assess technical data presented for the workshop? The city spent \$7K for on-call monitoring for each of the first two years of reliability workshop reporting.
 Maintenance and inspection programs Improvements to increase redundancy Automation/smart grid improvements 	serving the city.	\sqrt{Pay} for technical capacity expertise (FTE, LTE, on-call) in electrical systems and engineering to critique, monitor and assess technical data presented for the workshop. <u>Exponent contracted 2016 and 2017</u> .	 Amend the data identified in the workshop presentations to include agreed-upon action items? Stakeholder feedback: Amend the data identified in the workshop presentations to include agreed-upon action items, sent out to stakeholders for feedback first.
Smart Grid [1.6] Review the overall PSE plan for its Smart Grid technology projects and determine city level of support for the various customer initiatives. Work with PSE to develop a Bellevue deployment plan consistent with PSE obligations.	City reviews the overall PSE plan to determine support for various customer initiatives that would be appropriate for the City to provide.	√ City staff reviews this during the annual Joint reliability workshop. √ Identifies opportunities through existing PSE partnerships (see Energy Efficiency).	• Stakeholder feedback: Pay for technical capacity expertise in smart grid technology to critique, monitor and assess technical data; contract with someone like Jesse Berst (BT resident, original ERS stakeholder and <u>Smart Cities Council</u> author+)?
Joint Planning Meeting [2] Engage in a formal annual planning process to prepare for future projects. Discuss growth projections, anticipated changes in amount of load or distribution, projects on the horizon requiring special capacity and attention from City.	Enhance the role of the city as informed stakeholder; ensure a highly reliable system is built and maintained; enhance the relationship between PSE and the City; improve transparency of PSE operations.	√ The next meeting is 1Q 2018. The city bought outside expertise specifically for on-call follow up in 2012, 2013, <u>2016 and</u> <u>2017</u> , and with the ITA in 2015.	 Adopt the amended and restated MOU regarding electrical system performance, reliability and reporting, which includes continuing to hold the annual joint planning workshop? Pay for technical capacity expertise (FTE, LTE, on-call) in electrical systems and engineering to critique, monitor and assess technical data presented for the meeting?

Additional steps which could be taken to What has been the city role? What the ERS recommended What the ERS intended complete the recommendation. How are we organized to respond? Brackets refer to 2011 potential actions matrix Current Status (2017) Should the Council identify resources to: Integrated Resource Plan [3] Advocate for positions that support $\sqrt{1}$ The city lobbyist monitors electric and • Pay for legal or policy capacity (FTE, LTE, on-call) to critique, City interface with WUTC [9.0 – 9.3] City goals; comment and energy matters at the Legislature (2017 monitor and assess legislative and regulatory proposals and Remain active in IRP process and understand session), with support from IGR and PCD, and participate in various programs data? long-term impacts of this strategy. submitted to WUTC by PSE where works with specified legislators to advance • Establish a bigger legislative agenda around electricity and PSE is seeking advisory input from the city's 2017 legislative agenda. include formal liaison and Commission roles? stakeholders. • Stakeholder feedback: Does [the city] currently have staff who $\sqrt{\text{City staff attend biennial IRP Advisory}}$ have the expertise to accomplish? Group meetings to provide comment to 2017 IRP. The Draft IRP was issued 9-12-17 and the current IRPAG process is concluded. Vegetation Management [4] This is a risk management strategy $\sqrt{\text{Will be addressed in a future Land Use}}$ Consider adopting vegetation management to reduce the possibility of outages Code Amendment work program. resulting from tree-related and policies which result in more appropriate vegetation/ screening, especially around storm-related incidents. substations. **Community Communication** [5] Monitor PSE's progress on its $\sqrt{Proactive communication around}$ • Adopt the amended and restated MOU regarding electrical **Emergency Operations [6]** system modernization and smart system performance, reliability and reporting, including: coordinating emergency response protocols Develop formal process and procedures • Improved communication during outages to include more and supporting PSE's community grid efforts. related to response and support activities communication efforts. than a referral web site; more timely information and during emergencies. publicity around access to how to get information (e.g. text $\sqrt{\text{City}}$ emergency operations and PSE staffs capability) and responses to vulnerable people developed pre-event coordination model for Establish meetings between PSE and City • Prepare outage reports to public after events to include forecasted storms events. PSE staff are OEM staffs to understand the capabilities of response times, challenging problem areas identified, and assigned to the Redmond storm base during the new OMS. safety rationales? storm events. PSE staff are linked to • Stakeholder feedback: Adopt the amended and restated MOU dedicated city personnel staffing the EOC. regarding electrical system performance, reliability and reporting, including: $\sqrt{\text{OEM}}$ meets monthly with PSE reps through • In addition to the above, include real-time updated outage Zone 1 Emergency Management Group and restoration times and include a plan to "do better" in the **Emergency Management Advisory** future.

Attachment 1

What the ERS recommended Brackets refer to 2011 potential actions matrix	What the ERS intended	What has been the city role? How are we organized to respond? <u>Current Status (2017)</u>	Additional steps which could be taken to complete the recommendation. Should the Council identify resources to:
		Committee (EMAC)/Region 6 Homeland Security Council.	
		City public information officers and PSE integrated communications structure with City Incident Command structure.	
Energy Efficiency [7] Lead the energy efficiency effort to assist PSE in reaching its long-term electric energy usage goals to help ensure adequate electric power supply during peak power periods for the City	Work in partnership with PSE in reaching its long-term electric energy usage goals to help ensure adequate electric power supply during peak power periods for the City.	The city's environmental stewardship efforts are documented in the ESI Strategic Plan 2013-2018. In partnership with PSE: √ Bellevue hired a resource conservation manager to help reduce municipal water and electricity usage √ Bellevue is pursuing the Georgetown University Energy Prize √ Implements Solarize Bellevue and a streamlined process for permitting small- scale solar √ Owns and operates 22 EV charging stations √ Included energy efficiency measures at 2015-2012 CIP pump station projects √ Ongoing replacing traffic signal and street lighting with LED √ Working on a downtown building district for high efficiency commercial buildings through <i>Bellevue Urban Smart</i> .	 Stakeholder feedback: Pay for technical capacityin Energy Efficiencycurrently no one on staff who can provide what is suggested.
Conversions of distribution lines from overhead to Underground [8] Seek opportunities to work in the direction of future undergrounding of existing overhead distribution lines.	Investigate opportunities for undergrounding distribution through coordination of multiple utility projectsdevelop strategies increasing opportunities to convert overhead to underground.	√ Amended Comp Plan policies regarding funding including encouraging LIDs by neighborhood request. Provided enhanced Comp Plan policy guidance separating telecom from electrical pole issues.	 Implement Comp Plan language with a program to engage interested neighborhoods who would like to make a proposal. Should the city pay for program to underground citywide, informed by studying the best practices of other jurisdictions? A necessary cost-benefit analysis should include a variety of

What the ERS recommended Brackets refer to 2011 potential actions matrix	What the ERS intended	What has been the city role? How are we organized to respond? <u>Current Status (2017)</u>	Additional steps which could be taken to complete the recommendation. Should the Council identify resources to:
		✓ Continue to implement city authority in street CIP projects by analyzing projects using the Franchise Agreement and tariff schedules	 items including the potential for cost savings from reduced tree management. Stakeholder feedback: Form public/private partnership?
		73 and 74; and the CIP.	